Case 3584

Erythemis Hagen, 1861: proposed precedence over Lepthemis Hagen, 1861 (Insecta, Odonata)

Ângelo Parise Pinto

Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Biológicas (Zoologia) IB – USP, Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo, Ipiranga 04263–000, Av. Nazaré 481, São Paulo, SP, Brazil (e-mail: odonata_angelo@hotmail.com)

Rosser W. Garrison

California Department of Food & Agriculture, 3294 Meadowview Road, Sacramento, CA 95832–1448, U.S.A. (e-mail: rosser.garrison@cdfa.ca.gov)

Dennis R. Paulson

Slater Museum of Natural History, University of Puget Sound, Tacoma, WA 98416, U.S.A. (e-mail: dennispaulson@comcast.net)

Thomas W. Donnelly

2091 Partridge Lane, Binghamton NY 13903, U.S.A. (e-mail: tdonelly@binghamton.edu)

Michael L. May

Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ 08901, U.S.A. (e-mail: mimay@rci.rutgers.edu)

Abstract. The purpose of this application, under Articles 23.9.3 and 81.1 of the Code, is to conserve the widespread usage of the generic name *Erythemis* Hagen, 1861 for a group of common dragonflies from the New World over the simultaneously published nominal genus *Lepthemis* Hagen, 1861, selected to take precedence by the First Reviser action (Article 24.2), whenever these names are considered to be synonyms. This proposal seeks to achieve the least change in the nomenclature of the species currently placed in these two genera, in strict accordance with Principle 4 of the Code.

Keywords. Nomenclature; taxonomy; Insecta; Odonata; Libellulidae; *Erythemis*; *Lepthemis*; *Erythemis* peruviana; *Mesothemis*; dragonflies; New World.

^{1.} Hagen (1861), in a work dealing with North American species, established three genera for the reception of several new and previously described species of New World dragonflies. The first, *Lepthemis* (p. 160) included three species, *Libellula vesiculosa* Fabricius, 1775, *Libellula haematogastra* Burmeister, 1839 and *Libellula verbenata* Hagen, 1861. The second, *Erythemis* (p. 168) included *Erythemis furcata* Hagen, 1861, *Libellula bicolor* Hoffmannsegg *in* Erichson, 1848 and *Erythemis*

longipes Hagen, 1861. The third, Mesothemis (p. 170) included Libellula simplicicollis Say, 1840, Mesothemis collocata Hagen, 1861, Mesothemis corrupta Hagen, 1861, Mesothemis illota Hagen, 1861, Libellula attala Selys in Sagra, 1857, Libellula mithra Selys in Sagra, 1857 and Libellula longipennis Burmeister, 1839 as valid species. He also listed Libellula acuta Say, 1840 as a synonym of Lepthemis vesiculosa (p. 161), Libellula caerulans Rambur, 1842 and Libellula maculiventris Rambur, 1842 as synonyms of Libellula simplicicollis (p. 170), Libellula annulata Rambur, 1842 (partim, nec Libellula annulata Palisot de Beauvois, 1807) as a synonym of Libellula mithra Selys in Sagra, 1857 (p. 172), Libellula socia Rambur, 1842 and Libellula truncatula Rambur, 1842 as synonyms of Libellula longipennis (p. 173).

- 2. In that same work Hagen (1861), in his 'List of South American Neuroptera' (pp. 316-318), included fifteen more species in these three genera. Under the nominal genus Lepthemis (p. 316) five more species were cited, two already described species, Libellula cardinalis Erichson, 1848 and Libellula attenuata Erichson, 1848, and he introduced the following new species-group names: Lepthemis picta Hagen, 1861, Lepthemis extensa Hagen, 1861 and Lepthemis cultriformis Hagen, 1861, all of them as nomina nuda since they do not satisfy the provisions of Article 12 of the Code. For Erythemis (pp. 317-318) he included two more species-group names: Libellula peruviana Rambur, 1842 and Libellula rubriventris Blanchard, 1845, and added Erythemis lavata Hagen, 1861 (a nomen nudum). Finally for Mesothemis (p. 318) he included seven more species: Libellula annulata Palisot de Beauvois, 1807, Libellula plebeja Rambur, 1842, Libellula connata Burmeister, 1839, Libellula communis Rambur, 1842, Libellula distinguenda Rambur, 1842 and Libellula abbreviata Rambur, 1842, and again introduced a nomen nudum, Mesothemis gilva Hagen, 1861. All of these species-group names listed by Hagen (1861, pp. 160–173, 316–318) encompass originally included nominal species (Article 67.2.1), rendering each of the genera clearly heterogeneous groups. No type species were selected for any of these three genera.
- 3. Kirby (1889), by subsequent designation, fixed Libellula vesiculosa Fabricius, 1775 as type species for Lepthemis Hagen, 1861 (p. 302), Libellula simplicicollis Say, 1840 as type species for Mesothemis Hagen, 1861 (p. 303), and Libellula peruviana Rambur, 1842 as type species for Erythemis Hagen, 1861 (p. 305). Although it can be argued that Libellula peruviana was not a name originally included in the nominal genus Erythemis, which would render Kirby's type fixation invalid under Article 67.2.5 of the Code, Hagen (1861, p. 169), under Erythemis bicolor (Hoffmannsegg in Erichson, 1848, p. 583), suggested synonymy with Libellula peruviana Rambur, 1842 ('Is it different of Libellula peruviana Rambur?') although he (Selys, 1850, p. 398) earlier had considered both as synonyms ('Dans le Voyage de Schomburgk au Brésil et à la Guyane, M. Erichson a décrit sous le nom de Lib. bicolor la Lib. peruviana, dit M. Hagen...' [In the Voyage of Schomburgk in Brazil and Guyana, Mr Erichson described under the name of Lib. bicolor, Lib. peruviana, says Mr Hagen...]). In addition, Hagen (1861, p. 318) included in his List of South American Neuroptera Libellula peruviana in Erythemis, a species for which he had previously (p. 169) and again (p. 318) suggested synonymy with Libellula bicolor Hoffmannsegg in Erichson, 1848 ('Perhaps E. bicolor'). Even though Libellula peruviana Rambur, 1842 was provisionally cited by Hagen (1861, p. 169) under the nominal genus Erythemis, all nominal species listed by Hagen (1861, pp. 160-173, 316-318) in that same work

(excluding nomina nuda and those that do not satisfy the provisions of Article 67.2), even when appearing on different pages, should be considered as names originally included under provisions of the Article 67.2.1, and all are eligible for type species fixation. This validates Kirby's (1889, p. 305) act fixing *Libellula peruviana* Rambur, 1842 as type species of the nominal genus *Erythemis* Hagen, 1861. Kirby very likely followed Hagen's advice that *Libellula peruviana* was the same species as *L. bicolor* Hoffmannsegg in Erichson, 1848, and chose it as the type species.

- 4. Kirby (1890, p. 40), followed by Calvert (1906, p. 30), considered *Libellula bicolor* a junior synonym of *Libellula peruviana*. Calvert (1906, p. 30–31) established synonymy between *Erythemis* and *Mesothemis* and chose *Erythemis* as senior synonym based on page priority. Since Calvert (1906), *Mesothemis* as a genus-group name was rarely used, with most citations up to 1940 referring to its type species *Libellula simplicicollis* (e.g. Needham & Heywood, 1929, pp. 246–248; Hinman, 1933, p. 50; Ahrens, 1938, p. 14; Ferguson, 1942, p. 148). After 1940, *Mesothemis* has been listed as a junior synonym consistently except for two ecological studies where it was cited as a valid genus (Polcyn, 1994, p. 443), and a subgenus (Harrison & Lighton, 1998, p. 1739).
- 5. The number of names included under *Lepthemis* has fluctuated from the original eight (Hagen, 1861, pp. 160–162, 316), to five (Hagen, 1877, pp. 73–74), then to 11 (Brauer, 1868, p. 724), but these last two works simply listed species-group names. Calvert (1907, p. 339), followed by Ris (1911, pp. 594–608) in his monograph of the entire family, reduced this number to one, its type species *L. vesiculosa*, and this was the only name associated with the genus from 1907 to 1957.
- 6. The number of names included under *Erythemis* has fluctuated from the original six (Hagen, 1861, pp. 168–169, 317–318), to 11 (Brauer, 1868, p. 723), but the latter work again simply listed species-group names. Williamson (1923, pp. 8–10), in his review of the genus, reduced this number to nine, a number which remained stable until 1957.
- 7. Lepthemis Hagen, 1861 and Erythemis Hagen, 1861 were considered valid genera until Kennedy's (1923, p. 20) evaluation of members of these two genera. He suggested that Erythemis and Lepthemis (and the Old World Rhodothemis Ris, 1909) could be congeneric based on vesica spermalis (penis) morphology, but he did not formally synonymise them ('...The writer would be inclined to call the whole series of five or six groups Lepthemis and would consider the individual groups as subgenera...' and '...The comprehensive genus would have to be Lepthemis because of the [page] priority in the use of that name by Hagen...').
- 8. Rácenis (1958, p. 217 [footnote]), following Kennedy's noncommittal opinion cited above, and acting as the First Reviser, formally synonymised *Erythemis* and *Lepthemis* and opted for *Lepthemis*, based apparently on page priority ('Según mi opinión, *Lepthemis* Hagen, 1861 y *Erythemis* Hagen, 1861 son congenéricos y merecen solamente el status de subgéneros. Para emplear el nombre *Lepthemis* para este género, véase también las opiniones de Kennedy (1923)' '[In my opinion, *Lepthemis* Hagen 1861 and *Erythemis* Hagen 1861 are congeneric and merit subgeneric status only. To use the name *Lepthemis* for this genus, see also the opinions of Kennedy (1923)]'.
- 9. Gloyd (1980, p. 104) discussed the dual use of the generic names *Lepthemis* (with one species) and *Erythemis* (with 11 [sic!] species), noting that '...page priority is no

longer considered adamant in the choice of a name.' and 'If the species in these two genera are eventually proven to be congeneric, then retaining the name *Erythemis* would evoke less change.' She cautioned against a synonymy pending a thorough revision of a group, a similar opinion also expressed by Belle (1978, p. 5).

- 10. Usage of either name during the last 50 years (1960-2011) shows an inconsistency of use for the ten species presently constituting these two genera. Only six citations, Lutz & Pittman (1970, pp. 280–281, 283), Paulson (1977, p. 180; 1982, p. 266), Sherk (1977, p. 394; 1978, p. 62), and Fleck (2004, pp. 53-54) followed Rácenis (1958, 1959) in using Lepthemis as senior synonym for all ten species; 25 citations consider Erythemis and Lepthemis as valid genera; and over 120 citations by at least 65 different authors consider Erythemis a senior synonym (full list of citations available from the authors). Current usage of Erythemis as senior synonym is overwhelmingly predominant in the literature. One common species from the Eastern United States, Erythemis simplicicollis, has been the subject of studies in age maturation (McVey, 1985), behavior (Belle & Whitcomb, 1961; Currie, 1963; Edwards, 1987; Sanborn, 1996), sexual selection and sperm competition (McVey & Smittle, 1984; Waage, 1986; McVey, 1988), physiology and parasitology (May, 1976, 1979; McVey, 1984; Harrison et al., 1994; Painter et al., 1996; Locklin & Vodopich, 2010), taxonomy (Donnelly, 2004a); it appeared in numerous illustrated odonate field guides and faunal lists (Dunkle, 1989; Abbott, 2001, 2005, 2006; Curry, 2001; Nikula et al., 2002, 2003; Legler et al., 2003; Manolis, 2003; Mead, 2003; Biggs, 2004; Beaton, 2007; Behrstock, 2008), and general accounts on Odonata (Arnett, 2000; Silsby, 2001; Corbet, 2004; Triplehorn & Johnson, 2005; Evans, 2007). There are also numerous taxonomic references, checklists, handbooks and textbooks where species placed under Erythemis, Lepthemis and Mesothemis have been included all together under Erythemis in South America (Rodrigues-Capitulo, 1992; Costa & Pujol-Luz, 1993; Carvalho & Nessimian, 1998; Costa et al., 2000; Heckman, 2006; von Ellenrieder & Muzón, 2008); Central America (Measey, 1994; Boomsma & Dunkle, 1996; Ramírez, Paulson & Esquivel, 2000; Förster, 2001); North America (González-Soriano & Novelo-Gutiérrez, 1996; Dunkle, 2000; Needham et al., 2000; Donnelly, 2004b; Paulson, 2009), or the whole of the New World (Davies & Tobin, 1985; Garrison, 1991; Bridges, 1994; Steinmann, 1997; Garrison et al., 2006).
- 11. An unpublished Master's dissertation (Pinto, 2008), currently in the final stage for publication (Pinto & Carvalho, in prep.) shows all 10 species constituting *Erythemis* and *Lepthemis* to be congeneric, necessitating the choice of either *Lepthemis* or *Erythemis* as senior synonym. Setting aside the senior synonym *Lepthemis* in favor of the almost universally used *Erythemis* would cause the least change in nomenclature and would promote stability for this group of dragonflies, especially as several members of the latter genus are common widespread species, some of which have been the subject of studies in behavior and sexual selection. Applying the rule of First Reviser (Article 24.2.1 of the Code) would not promote stability of nomenclature (Principle 4 of the Code).
- 12. The International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature is accordingly asked:
 - (1) to use its plenary power to rule that the generic name *Erythemis* Hagen, 1861 be given precedence over *Lepthemis* Hagen, 1861, whenever the two are considered to be synonyms;

- (2) to place on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology the name *Erythemis* Hagen, 1861 (gender: feminine), type species by subsequent designation by Kirby (1889) *Libellula peruviana* Rambur, 1842, with the endorsement that it is to be given precedence over *Lepthemis* Hagen, 1861, whenever these two names are considered synonyms;
- (3) to place on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology the name *Lepthemis* Hagen, 1861 (gender: feminine), type species by subsequent designation by Kirby (1889) *Libellula vesiculosa* Fabricius, 1775, with the endorsement that it is not to be given priority over *Erythemis* Hagen, 1861, whenever these two names are considered synonyms;
- (4) to place on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology the following names:
 - (a) peruviana Rambur, 1842, as published in the binomen Libellula peruviana, senior subjective synonym of Libellula bicolor Hoffmannsegg in Erichson, 1848, specific name of the type species of Erythemis Hagen, 1861;
 - (b) vesiculosa Fabricius, 1775, as published in the binomen Libellula vesiculosa, specific name of the type species of Lepthemis Hagen, 1861.

Acknowledgements

We thank Alcimar L. Carvalho (Museu Nacional, Rio de Janeiro), Jürg De Marmels (Universidad Central de Venezuela, Maracay), Jan van Tol (Naturalis, Leiden), and Natalia von Ellenrieder (California Department of Food & Agriculture, Sacramento) for advice and help with this application, and the two Commissioners who reviewed the application.

References

Abbott, J.C. 2001. Distribution of dragonflies and damselflies (Odonata) in Texas. Transactions of the American Entomological Society, 127(2): 199–228.

Abbott, J.C. 2005. Dragonflies and damselflies of Texas and the south-central United States: Texas, Louisiana, Arkansas, Oklahoma, and New Mexico. vii, 344 pp. Princeton University Press, Princeton.

Abbott, J.C. 2006. Dragonflies and damselflies (Odonata) of Texas, vol. 1. vii, 320 pp. Odonata Survey of Texas, Austin.

Ahrens, C. 1938. A list of dragonflies (Odonata) taken in southern Alaska. *Entomological News*, 49: 225–227.

Arnett, R.H. 2000. American insects. A handbook of the insects of America north of Mexico. Ed. 2. xvii, 1003 pp. CRC Press, Boca Raton.

Beaton, G. 2007. Dragonflies & damselflies of Georgia and the Southeast. ix, 355 pp. The University of Georgia Press, Athens.

Behrstock, R.A. 2008. Dragonflies & damselflies of the Southwest. 80 pp. Rio Nuevo Publishers, Tucson.

Bell, R. & Whitcomb, W.H. 1961. Erythemis simplicicallis (Say), a dragonfly predator of the Bollworm Moth. The Florida Entomologist, 44(2): 95–97.

Belle, J. 1978. Dragonfly records from Highlands County, Florida, United States. *Notulae Odonatologicae*, 1(1): 4–5.

Biggs, K. 2004. Dragonflies of California and common dragonflies of the Southwest. 160 pp. Azalea Creek Publishing Co., Sebastopol.

Boomsma, T. & Dunkle, S.W. 1996. Odonata of Belize. Odonatologica, 25(1): 17–29.

Brauer, F. 1868. Verzeichniß der bis jetzt bekannten Neuropteren im Sinne Linne's. Verhandlungen Zoologisch-botanishen Gesellschaft in Wien, 18: 359–416, 711–742.

Bridges, C.A. 1994. Catalogue of the family-group, genus-group and species-group names of the Odonata of the world (Third Edition). xxi, 905 pp. Privately published, Urbana, Illinois.

- Burmeister, H. 1839. Handbuch der Entomologie, v. 2, part 2. Pp. 757-1050. Enslin, Berlin.
- Calvert, P.P. 1906. Nomenclature of certain North American Odonata. *Entomological News*, 17: 30–31.
- Calvert, P.P. 1907. Odonata. Pp. 17–420. In: Godman, F.D. & Salvin, O. (Eds.), Biologia Centrali-Americana: Neuroptera. v.50, 1892–1908. xxx, 420 pp. Porter & Dulaü Co., London.
- Carvalho, A.L. & Pujol-Luz, J.R. 1992. On the odonate fauna of Ilha Grande and some other coastal islands of the State of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Notulae Odonatologicae*, 3(10): 157–159.
- Carvalho, A.L. & Nessimian, J.L. 1998. Odonata do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil: hábitats e hábitos das larvas. Pp. 3–28. *In*: Nessimian, J. L. & Carvalho, A. L. (Eds.), *Ecologia de Insetos Aquáticos*. xiv, 1–161 pp. Series Oecologia Brasiliensis, vol. V. PPGE-UFRJ. Rio de Janeiro, Brasil.
- Corbet, P. 2004. Dragonflies. Behavior and ecology of Odonata (Third printing). xxxii, 829 pp. Comstock Publishing Associates, Ithaca.
- Costa, J.M., Machado, A.B.M., Lencioni, F.A.A. & Santos, T.C. 2000. Diversidade e distribuição dos Odonata (Insecta) no estado de São Paulo, Brasil: Parte I Lista das espécies e registros bibliográficos. *Publicações Avulsas do Museu Nacional*, **80**: 1–27.
- Costa, J.M. & Pujol-Luz, J.R. 1993. Descrição da larva de *Erythemis mithroides* (Brauer) e notas sobre as larvas conhecidas do gênero (Odonata, Libellulidae). *Revista Brasileira de Zoologia*, **10**(3): 443–448.
- Currie, N. 1963. Mating behavior and local dispersal in *Erythemis simplicicollis*. Proceedings North Central Branch, Entomological Society of America, 18: 112–115.
- Curry, J.R. 2001. *Dragonflies of Indiana*. xiv, 303 pp. Indiana Academy of Science, Indianapolis. **Davies, D.A.L. & Tobin, P.** 1985. The dragonflies of the world: a systematic list of the extant species of Odonata. Vol. 2. Anisoptera. *Societas Internationalis Odonatologica, Rapid Communications, Supplement*, 5: 1–151.
- Donnelly, T.W. 2004a. Erythemis simplicicallis and collocata subspecies? Argia, 15(4): 11–13. Donnelly, T.W. 2004b. Distribution of North American Odonata. Part II: Macromiidae,
 - Corduliidae and Libellulidae. Bulletin of American Odonatology, 8(1): 11–32.
- **Dunkle, S.W.** 1989. Dragonflies of the Florida Peninsula, Bermuda, and the Bahamas. 154 pp. Scientific Publishers, Gainesville.
- Dunkle, S.W. 2000. Dragonflies through binoculars. viii, 266 pp. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Edwards, G.B. 1987. Predation by adult *Erythemis simplicicollis* (Say) on spiders (Anisoptera: Libellulidae). *Notulae odonatologicae*, **2**(9): 153–154.
- Erichson, W.F. 1848. Insecten. Pp. 553–617. *In*: Schomburgk, R. *Reisen in British Guiana in den Jahren 1840–1844, versuch einer fauna und flora von British Guiana*. v.3, viii, Pp. 533–1261. Verlagsbuchhandlung von J.J. Weber, Leipzig.
- Evans, A.E. 2007. Field guide to insects and spiders of North America. 497 pp. Sterling Publishing Co., Inc., New York.
- Fabricius, J.C. 1775. Systema Entomologiae, Sistens Insectorum Classes, Ordines, Genera, Species, Adiectis, Synonymis, Locis, Descriptionibus, Observationibus. xxx, 832 pp. Flensburgi et Lipsiae in Officina Libraria, Kortii.
- Ferguson, A. 1942. Scattered records of Texas and Louisiana Odonata with additional notes on the Odonata of Dallas County. *Field and Laboratory*, **10**(2): 145–149.
- Fleck, G. 2004. La larve du genre Cyanothemis Ris, 1915 (Odonata: Anisoptera: Libellulidae). Conséquences phylogénétiques. Annales de la Société Entomologique de France, 39(4): 51–58.
- Förster, S. 2001. The Dragonflies of the Central America exclusive of Mexico and West Indies. A guide to their identification. Second Edition. x, 141 pp. Gunnar Rehfeldt, Wolfenbüttel, Germany.
- Garrison, R.W. 1991. Synonymic list of New World Odonata. Argia, 3(2): 1-30.
- Garrison, R.W., von Ellenrieder, N. & Louton, J.A. 2006. Dragonfly genera of the New World: an illustrated and annotated key to Anisoptera. xiv, 368 pp. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.

Gloyd, L.K. 1980. The status of the generic names Erythemis, Lepthemis, and Mesothemis

(Anisoptera: Libellulidae). Notulae Odonatologicae, 1(6): 103-104.

González-Soriano, E. & Novelo-Gutiérrez, R. 1996. Odonata. Pp. 147-167 in Llorente-Bousquets, J., Garcia-Aldrete, A.N. & Gonzalez-Soriano, E. (Eds.), Biodiversidad, taxonomía y biogeografía de artrópodos de México: Hacia una sintesis de su conocimiento. 660 pp. Universidad Autonoma de Mexico, Mexico D.F.

Hagen, H.A. 1861. A synopsis of the Neuroptera of North America with a list of the South American species. Smithsonian Institution Miscellaneous Collections, 4: xx, 1-347.

Hagen, H.A. '1875' [1877]. Synopsis of the Odonata of America. Proceedings of the Boston Society of Natural History, 18: 20-96.

Harrison, J.F. & Lighton, J.R.B. 1998. Oxygen-sensitive flight metabolism in the dragonfly Erythemis simplicicollis. The Journal of Experimental Biology, 201: 1739-1744.

Harrison, S.J., Platt, A.P. & Sanders S.P. 1994. An electrophoretic comparison of enzymes from Anax junius (Drury) and Erythemis simplicicollis (Say) (Anisoptera: Aeshnidae, Libellulidae). Odonatologica, 23(4): 421–429.

Heckman, C.W. 2006. Encyclopedia of South American aquatic insects: Odonata - Anisoptera. Illustrated keys to known families, genera, and species in South America. viii, 705 pp.

Springer, Dordrecht.

Hinman, E.H. 1933. Dragon-flies predacious on Tabanus spp. Entomological News, 44: 49–50. Kennedy, C.H. 1923. The phylogeny and the distribution of the genus Erythemis (Odonata). Miscellaneous Publications of the Museum of Zoology University of Michigan, 11: 19-21.

Kirby, W.F. 1889. A revision of the subfamily Libellulinae, with descriptions of new genera and species. Transactions of the Zoological Society of London, 12(9): 249–348.

Kirby, W.F. 1890. A synonymic catalogue of Neuroptera Odonata, or dragonflies, with an appendix of fossil species. ix, 202 pp. Gurney & Jackson, London. Legler, K., Legler, D. & Westover, D. 2003. Color guide to dragonflies of Wisconsin. Ed. 4.0. 68

pp. Amberwing publishing, Sauk City.

Locklin, J.L. & Vodopich, D.S. 2010. Eugregarine parasitism of Erythemis simplicicollis (Say) at a constructed wetland: a fitness cost for females? (Anisoptera: Libellulidae). Odonatologica, **39**(4): 319–331.

Lutz, P.E. & Pittman, A.R. 1970. Some ecological factors influencing a community of adult Odonata. *Ecology*, **51**(2): 279–284.

Manolis, T. 2003. Dragonflies and damselflies of California. x, 201pp. University of California Press, Berkeley.

May, M.L. 1976. Thermoregulation and adaptation to temperature in dragonflies (Odonata: Anisoptera). Ecological Monographs, 46(1):1–32.

May, M.L. 1979. Energy metabolism of dragonflies (Odonata: Anisoptera) at rest and during endothermic warm-up. Journal of Experimental Biology, 83: 79–94.

Mead, K. 2003. Dragonflies of the North Woods. x, 203 pp. Kollath-Stensaas Publishing,

Measey, G.J. 1994. Some Odonata from Belize, Central America. Notulae Odonatologicae, **4**(3): 40–46.

McVey, M.E. 1984. Egg release rates with temperature and body size in libellulid dragonflies (Anisoptera). Odonatologica, 13(3): 377–385.

McVey, M.E. 1985. Rates of color maturation in relation to age, diet, and temperature in male Erythemis simplicicollis (Say) (Anisoptera: Libellulidae). Odonatologica, 14(2): 101-114.

McVey, M.E. 1988. The opportunity for sexual selection in a territorial dragonfly, Erythemis simplicicollis. Pp. 44-58 in Clutton-Brock, T.H. (Ed.), Reproductive success: studies of individual variation in contrasting breeding systems. ix, 548 pp. University Chicago Press, Chicago.

McVey, M.E. & Smittle, B.E. 1984. Sperm precedence in the dragonfly Erythemis simplicicallis. Journal of Insect Physiology, 30(8): 619–628.

Needham, J.G. & Heywood, H.B. 1929. A handbook of dragonflies of North America (Anisoptera). viii, 615 pp. Berkeley, University of California Press.

Needham, J.G., Westfall Jr., M.J. & May, M.L. 2000. Dragonflies of North America. Revised Edition. xv, 939 pp. Scientific Publishers, Inc., Gainesville.

Nikula, B., Sones, J., Stokes, D. & Stokes, L. 2002. Stokes beginner's guide to dragonflies and damselflies. 159 pp. Little, Brown & Company, Boston.

Nikula, B., Loose, J.L. & Burne, M.R. 2003. A field guide to the Dragonflies and Damselflies of Massachusetts. vii, 197 pp. Massachusetts Division of Fisherires & Wildlife, Natural

Heritage & Endangered Species Program, Boston.

Painter, M.K., Tennessen, K.J. & Richardson, T.D. 1996. Effects of repeated applications of *Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis* on the mosquito predator *Erythemis simplicicollis* (Odonata: Libellulidae) from hatching to final instar. *Environmental Entomology*, **25**(1): 184–191.

Paulson, D.R. 1977. Odonata. Pp. 170–184 in Hurlbert, S.H. (Ed.), Biota Acuatica de Sudamerica Austral. San Diego State University, San Diego.

Paulson, D.R. 1982. Odonata. Pp. 249–277. *In:* Hurlbert, S.H. & Villalobos-Figueroa, A. (Eds.), *Aquatic biota of Mexico, Central America and the West Indies.* San Diego State University, San Diego.

Paulson, D.R. 2009. Dragonflies of the West. 535 pp. Princeton University Press, Princeton.

Pinto, A.P. 2008. Análise cladística de Erythemis Hagen, 1861 com avaliação de seu posicionamento filogenético em Sympetrinae (Insecta, Odonata, Libellulidae) [Cladistic Analysis of Erythemis Hagen, 1861 with assessment of its phylogenetic placement in Sympetrinae]. xiv, 144 pp. UFRJ / MN, Rio de Janeiro. [Dissertation presented in the post-graduate program in Biological Sciences (Zoology)/UFRJ, as requirement for degree of Master of Science].

Pinto, A.P. & Carvalho, A.L. [in preparation]. A morphological cladistic analysis of *Erythemis* Hagen, 1861 and the significance of congruence test of characters for homology statements of wing venation in Anisoptera (Odonata).

Polcyn, D.M. 1994. Thermoregulation during summer activity in Mojave Desert dragonflies (Odonata: Anisoptera). Functional Ecology, 8: 441–449.

Rácenis, J. 1958. Los Odonatos Neotropicales en la coleccion de la Facultad de Agronomia de la Universidad Central de Venezuela. *Acta Biologia Venezuelica*, **2**(19): 179–226.

Rácenis, J. 1959. Lista de los Odonata del Peru. Acta Biologia Venezuelica, 2(34): 467-522.

Rambur, P. 1842. Histoire naturelle des insectes. Neuroptères. i-xviii, 534 pp. Libraire Encyclopédique de Roret, Paris.

Ramírez, A., Paulson, D.R. & Esquivel, C. 2000. Odonata of Costa Rica: diversity and checklist of species. Revista de Biología Tropical, 48(1): 247–254.

Ris, F. 1911. Libellulines 2, Libellulinen 5. In: Catalogue Systématique et Descriptif des Collections Zoologique Du Baron Edmond Selys de Longchamps, 13: 529–700.

Rodrigues Capitulo, A. 1992. Los Odonata de la republica Argentina. Fauna de agua dulce de la República Argentina, 34(1): 1–91.

Sanborn, A.F. 1996. The cicada *Diceroprocta delicata* (Homoptera: Cicadidae) as prey for the dragonfly *Erythemis simplicicollis* (Anisoptera: Libellulidae). *Florida Entomologist*, **79**(1): 69–70.

Say, T. '1839' [1840]. Descriptions of new North American neuropterous insects, and observations on some already described. *Journal of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia*, 8(1): 9–46.

Selys-Longchamps, Edm. de. 1850. Revue des Odonates ou Libellules d'Europe. Mémoires de la Société Royale des Sciences de Liége, 6: i–xxii, 1–408.

Selys-Longchamps, Edm. de. 1857. Odonates de Cuba. Pp. 436–472. In: Sagra, R. de La (Ed.). Histoire Physique, Politique et Naturelle de l'Île de Cuba. Animaux articulés, tomo 7: 35–473. Arthus Bertrand Editeur, Paris.

Sherk, T.E. 1977. Development of the compound eyes of dragonflies (Odonata). I. Larval compound eyes. *Journal of Experimental Zoology*, 201(3): 391–416.

Sherk, T.E. 1978. Development of the compound eyes of dragonflies (odonata). III. Adult compound eyes. *Journal of Experimental Zoology*, 203(1): 61–79.

Silsby, J.D. 2001. Dragonflies of the World. vii, 216 pp. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood.

Steinmann, H. 1997. World catalogue of Odonata, Volume II Anisoptera. xiv, 636 pp. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin.

Triplehorn, C.A. & Johnson, N.F. 2005. *Borror and Delong's introduction to the study of insects.* Ed. 7. x, 864 pp. Thomson Brooks/Cole, Belmont.

von Ellenrieder, N. & Muzón, J. 2008. An updated checklist of the Odonata from Argentina. *Odonatologica*, 37(1): 55–68.

Waage, J.K. 1986. Sperm displacement by two libellulid dragonflies with disparate copulation durations (Ansisoptera). *Odonatologica*, **15**(4): 429–444.

Williamson, E.B. 1923. Notes on the genus *Erythemis* with a description of a new species (Odonata). *Miscellaneous Publications of the Museum of Zoology University of Michigan*, 11: 1–18.

Acknowledgement of receipt of this application was published in BZN 69: 83.

Comments on this case are invited for publication (subject to editing) in the *Bulletin*; they should be sent to the Executive Secretary, I.C.Z.N., c/o Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, U.K. (e-mail: iczn@nhm.ac.uk).